

JOINT CONCURRING OPINION MADE BY MINISTER NORMA LUCÍA PIÑA HERNÁNDEZ AND MINISTER JORGE MARIO PARDO REBOLLEDO IN THE AMPARO UNDER REVIEW 1077/2019, RESOLVED BY THE FIRST CHAMBER OF THE NATIONAL SUPREME COURT IN SESSION OF JUNE SIXTEENTH, TWO THOUSAND TWENTY-ONE

Although we share the meaning of the sentence and many of its considerations to conclude that the urgent measures and actions issued by the Committee against Enforced Disappearances of the United Nations (hereinafter, "the Committee") based on article 30 of the International Convention for the Protection of all Persons against Enforced Disappearances (hereinafter, "the Convention"), must be mandatory and their compliance judicially and constitutionally supervised by the authorities of the Mexican State within the scope of their competence, we have the following observations.

1. The amparo under review should have been known by the Plenary of the SCJN

In the first place, it seems to us that, due to the importance and transcendence of the criterion and since the central issue discussed in this review appeal is a matter that corresponds, in principle, to be heard by the Plenary of the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation (SCJN) and not to this First Chamber, this matter should have been referred to the Plenary Session of this High Court.

The foregoing is due to the fact that, although the matter of origin has its genesis in a deficient investigation of the crime of forced disappearance in the State of Veracruz (which, in principle, is a criminal matter that corresponds to

