

CONCURRING VOTE

WHAT IS FORMULATED BY THE MINISTER JORGE MARIO PARDO REBOLLEDO, IN THE AMPARO UNDER REVIEW 51/2020.

In a session corresponding to August 10, two thousand and twenty-two, the First Chamber of this Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation resolved, by a majority of three votes, the appeal for review mentioned in the matter, in the sense of modifying the appealed judgment and grant the requested relief.

Although I respectfully agree with this sense, I consider it necessary to make some clarifications regarding the considerations expressed there, for the reasons that are explained in the body of this opinion.

I. Reasons for the resolution.

In the execution, it was determined to modify the sentence appealed by the responsible authorities. Said sentence granted the constitutional protection requested by the complainants, against the forced disappearance of which their respective relatives were victims; For this reason, various measures were issued to achieve full reparation for the violation suffered, by virtue of which the trial judge linked various authorities identified as responsible.

However, the resolution of the Chamber considered that it was necessary to make a modification with respect to one of the aspects for which it was granted. The modification of merit consisted in that the grievance of the ministerial authority –in which it states that linking it to making public the updates of the investigation carried out on the occasion of the forced disappearance subject of the amparo trial, could

